



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/675,468	09/28/2000	John Bryan Ibbotson	GB919990081US1/1751P	8913	
75	90 03/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Sawyer Law Group LLP			PILLAI, NAMITHA		
P O Box 51418 Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1 4.0 1			2173	<i>t</i> 3	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Ådvisory Action	09/675,468	IBBOTSON ET AL.				
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Namitha Pillai	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendar CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would		senarate timely filed amendment				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. /						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	SIIPF	JOHN CABECA RVISORY PATENT EXAMINED				
	TE(CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				
S Patent and Trademark Office		··· & L UU				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/675,468

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant refers to the fact that Mizoguchi is capable of using only simple data types in defining the expressions. The characteristics of the data, wherein specific information concerning data types and their role in the expressions have not been disclosed in the independent claims of the present invention. Furthermore, the term "simple" is ambiguous, wherein a simple data type would contain broad meanings that can be interpreted in various ways. Mizoguchi may be directed to software development for creating a business application program, but it is the process through which, wherein this process includes creating expressions and wherein data structures that represent input and output data structures and data from within these structures are used in creating the expression. These features are both disclosed in Mizoguchi and the present claimed invention as is stated in the rejections.